



Report of: Corporate Director of Resources

Meeting of:	Date	Agenda item	Ward(s)
Pensions Sub-Committee	25 March 2019		n/a

Delete as appropriate	Exempt	Non-exempt
-----------------------	--------	------------

Appendix 1 is exempt and not for publication as it contains the following category of exempt information as specified in Paragraph 3, Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, namely: Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information)

SUBJECT: LISTED EQUITY PORTFOLIO – UPDATE ON TRANSFER OF ASSETS FROM LCIV ALLIANZ TO LCIV RBC SUSTAINABLE FUND

1. Synopsis

- 1.1 This report and exempt Appendix 1 provide updated information on implementation of members decision to transfer global equities on LCIV platform from Allianz to RBC Sustainable fund
- 1.2 MJ Hudsons, our independent investment advisors have also prepared briefing note cataloguing progress to date, proposed options on transition and the proposed timeline attached as exempt Appendix 1)

2. Recommendation

- 2.1 To note the progress to date with LCIV on the transfer of assets
- 2.2 To note and consider the issue of withholding tax accrued to the Islington fund only
- 2.3 To agree to transition the units owned without the withholding tax accrued
- 2.4 To delegate to the Corporate Director of Resources, in consultation with the Assistant Chief Executive, Governance and HR, authority to negotiate and agree with the LCIV
 - Any costs associated with the termination of the Allianz sub fund mandate
 - Fair recourse to dealing with the withholding tax accrued

3. Background

Allianz

- 3.1 The Committee agreed to transfer our global equity assets with Allianz to the LCIV Allianz sub fund as part of the Phase 1 funding in December 2015. We were part of 3 boroughs who completed this transition at the time. As of the 2nd quarter of this year, the other 2 boroughs terminated their mandate with Allianz due to changes in asset allocation and requirements. On the point of termination the fund ownership was 15% Islington, Ealing-53% and Wandsworth 32%.
- 3.2 The LCIV in April 2018 gave the fund assurances they will not terminate the Allianz sub fund because asset under management c £120m still makes it viable. In October 2017 all three Boroughs notified the LCIV of our intention to divest from the Allianz sub fund and assurances were given that no one fund will be penalised for leaving at different times and any termination cost will be shared between all the previous unit holders.
- 3.3 Members then agreed in November 2018 ,after a due diligence process to transfer our assets to LCIV RBC Sustainable fund and delegated authority to Director of Corporate Resources in consultation with the Assistant Chief Executive, Governance and HR, to agree terms with the LCIV and appoint a transition manager if required to implement the transfer.
- 3.4 **Update on progress on transfer of assets**
The LCIV, was notified after Members' decision in November to initiate the process and terms and conditions to be agreed. As part of the options provided by the LCIV to Islington for the transfer, the issue of accrued withholding tax of £1.5m was raised. This was accrued income for the whole fund not available to invest as the last fund to exit, whilst the other 2 boroughs had been prepaid on exit. The Corporate Director of Resources then agreed to engage an advisor from MJ Hudson Allenbridge to provide oversight and advice to ensure the transfer of assets achieve best value for the Islington Fund.
- 3.5. The briefing paper prepared by MJ Hudson, attached exempt Appendix1 gives details of the options provided by the LCIV, progress made to date, proposed transition process and a possible timeline.
- 3.6 Members are asked to receive the briefing, consider our options and agree to transition and seek a fair recourse for Islington from the LCIV on the whole fund accrued withholding tax.

4. Implications

4.1 Financial implications

- 4.1.1 The cost of providing independent investment advice and transition cost is part of fund management and administration fees charged to the pension fund.

4.2 Legal Implications

The Council, as the administering authority for the pension fund may appoint investment managers to manage and invest an infrastructure portfolio on its behalf (Regulation 8(1) of the Local Government Pension Scheme (Management and Investment of Funds) Regulations 2009 (as amended).

- 4.2.1 The Council is able to invest fund money in a London CIV fund asset without undertaking a competitive procurement exercise because of the exemption for public contracts between entities in the public sector (regulation 12 of the Public Contracts Regulations 2015). The

conditions for the application of this exemption are satisfied as the London authorities exercise control over the CIV similar to that exercised over their own departments and CIV carries out the essential part of its activities (over 80%) with the controlling London boroughs.

- 4.2.2 The sub- committee must
- (i) reasonably believe that the recommended investment manager's ability in and practical experience of financial matters makes them suitably qualified to make investment decisions for the Council
 - (ii) be satisfied that the fund (or relevant part of it) is managed by an adequate number of investment managers and that where there is more than one investment manager, the value of fund money to be managed by any one of them will not be disproportionate (in their view) in comparison with the value of fund money managed by other investment managers
 - (iii) have proper regard to the advice of the Interim Corporate Director of Resources and its external advisers, in relation to the proposed appointment

In considering the recommendations in this report, members must take into account the information contained in the Exempt Appendix 1 to this report

4.3 **Environmental Implications**

The environmental impacts were considered as part of the presentations where managers were asked to demonstrate how they took environmental and social governance issues in their portfolio construction. It was identified that the proposals in this report would have no adverse impacts.

4.4 **Resident Impact Assessment**

None applicable to this report. The council must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation, and to advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations, between those who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not share it (section 149 Equality Act 2010). The council has a duty to have due regard to the need to remove or minimise disadvantages, take steps to meet needs, in particular steps to take account of disabled persons' disabilities, and encourage people to participate in public life. The council must have due regard to the need to tackle prejudice and promote understanding

5. **Conclusion and reasons for recommendation**

- 5.1 Members are asked consider the MJ Hudson briefing attached as Exempt Appendix 1 and agree to transition our asset to LCIV RBC fund without the withholding tax and delegate to the Director of Corporate Resources in consultation with the Assistant Chief Executive, Governance and HR, authority to negotiate and agree with the LCIV any costs associated with the termination of the Allianz sub fund mandate and fair recourse to dealing with the withholding tax accrued.

Background papers:
Exempt Appendix 1 .

Final report clearance:

Signed by:

Received by: Corporate Director of Resources Date

Head of Democratic Services Date

Report Author: Joana Marfoh
Tel: (020) 7527 2382
Email: Joana.marfoh@islington.gov.uk